

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,487	•	12/03/2001	Horst Mueller	1454.1118	5604
21171	7590	12/07/2005	EXAMINER		INER
STAAS &		Y LLP	DUONG	DUONG, DUC T	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW		VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2663	
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/980,487	MUELLER, HORST				
navious nation	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Duc T. Duong	2663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application (ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated the content of the content	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 22-25.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-21 and 26</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ . ∂				
10. Other:	. ,	lh1,				
		RICKY Q. NGO				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitation "remove overhead information from the STM-N frames" to claims 17 and 26 in line 3 has altered the bound and scope of the claims, and thus would require further search/consideration. Regarding to applicant's argument that since more detailed limitations are recited in other claims, no additional search will be required. In response, the newly added limitations does not appear in any other claims, and thus additional search/consideration will be required. Furthermore, there is no correlation between the more detailed limitations in other claims and the newly added limitation in claims 17 and 26.